i	Page 1960
1	Continental, a cable company called
2	Continental.
3	JUDGE SIPPEL: That has nothing to
4	do with Mojo?
5	THE WITNESS: No. No, it didn't.
6	JUDGE SIPPEL: But the same idea
7	in the sense that they were basically three
8	joint participants?
9	THE WITNESS: Yes, you're exactly
10	right. Those three cable companies, Cox,
11	Comcast, and Continental formed a joint
12	venture and they launched OLN and Speed
13	Channel.
14	JUDGE SIPPEL: In what year about
15	was that?
16	THE WITNESS: In '95.
17	JUDGE SIPPEL: Thank you.
18	BY MR. CARROLL:
19	Q And then TCI was not part of the
20	ownership of either Golf or Versus, OLN back
21	at that time, correct?
22	A No.

Q And so from your perspective, when
you were looking to acquire the programming,
they were an outside programmer, unaffiliated
with you for purposes of negotiations with
them, right?

A Yes.

Q And did you decide to carry those channels, Golf and OLN?

A At the time of the first deal that was done just as a point of clarification, I worked at TCI. I was not running the department at that time. It was being run by my boss at the time, Jedd Palmer.

Q Okay.

A But I was in the department when it was done and Jedd had made the decision to carry those networks.

Q And the decision to carry the networks, since the sports tier doesn't exist back then, I gather they were not distributed on a sports tier?

A That's correct.

How were those channels 1 0 distributed over the time period, the mid-'90s 2 to the late '90s? What was the distribution

like for Golf and for OLN? 4

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

The distribution, my recollection was the distribution started a mixture of digital and analog. It increased over time. There were launch support payments that those networks offered that increased the distribution.

Now let me follow up on that term, launch support payments. Could you explain to His Honor what is a launch support -- first, is that a term of art in the industry? And secondly, what does that mean?

It is a term of art in the Α industry. What it refers to is an upfront payment that would be paid by a network in order to entice the distributor to enter into a distribution commitment for a network.

And what's the concept behind it? 0 What does that happen in the industry with

networks and under what types of circumstances do you see that happening?

A Well, it was much more common in the 1990s. It really isn't part of the landscape in today's environment, but in the '90s, it was very common for networks, many of the networks on that list that I gave you gained distribution in the '90s by offering launch support to distributors. And so if a distributor was inclined to distribute a network, a launch support could just entice a distributor to actually make a deal and give a distribution commitment.

Q What effect would the launch support have on the cost to the distributor of distributing the program?

A It would reduce the cost.

Q So it would make it cheaper, less expensive for the distributor to distribute the channel?

A That's correct.

Q And by the end of the '90s, you're

	Page 1964
1	still at TCI, I think, right?
2	A That's correct.
3	Q And what level of distribution did
4	Golf Channel and OLN enjoy by the end of the
5	'90s, do you remember?
6	A It was pretty broadly distributed.
7	Q And by the end of the '90s, Tennis
8	Channel still doesn't exist, correct?
9	A Yes. It does not exist.
10	Q So the sports tier, I want to come
11	back to that again. When, in time, does the
12	sports tier come along? When do you first
13	start seeing it?
14	A Time Warner was really the leader
15	in creating a sports tier, creating the idea
16	of the sports tier. And this was in the mid-
17	2000s.
18	Q And do you remember how that
19	happened, how you learned about it and what
20	type of company Time Warner was using for its

It was -- I'm not sure how I first

21

22

sports tier?

Α

Page 1966 1 MR. CARROLL: That's what I was 2 hoping. 3 JUDGE SIPPEL: I've got it right 4 here. 5 MR. CARROLL: I just wanted to 6 direct the witness to the time line that is at 7 Tab A which is marked as Comcast Exhibit 658. 8 JUDGE SIPPEL: I kept it out. 9 MR. CARROLL: Perfect. You're 10 ahead of me. 11 JUDGE SIPPEL: No, I'm not. I'm 12 just trying to catch up with you. 13 BY MR. CARROLL: 14 I just wanted to show you behind 15 Tab A in your book, you can fold out the time 16 line. It's Commission Exhibit 658. Yes, I see. 17 18 I just wanted to look at the -- we 19 just talked about the period of the '90s and 20 I just wanted you to confirm. Does the time 21 line as set forth there, the red years from 22 '95 through '02 and then the notation for 2003

with Time Warner launching the Tennis Channel,
does that look correct to you, that time line?

A It does.

Q Is it correct that the years I've got in red, those are all years when Golf and Versus, the predecessor to Versus, OLN, were in existence of being distributed and Tennis Channel didn't exist yet, is that correct?

A Yes, sir.

Q And then the first blue year we've got there, 2003, is that when you first learned about the sports tier that Time Warner is creating?

A Yes.

Q You can put that to the side.

JUDGE SIPPEL: I'm sorry, was the selection of the blue in 2003, was that for purposes of just setting out this Tennis

Channel, The Tennis Channel launch as being kind of a breakpoint or a -- what's the term

I'm trying to think of? Things changed. Let me ask you the question this way. What were

Page 1968 you trying to show by switching from red to 1 2 blue in '03? 3 MR. CARROLL: Because we've got a 4 period of years when Golf and Versus exist and 5 Tennis Channel hasn't been born yet. So the 6 red years are all the years that Golf and 7 Versus, which was at the time called OLN, 8 they've been launched. They're in distribution. They're gaining distribution in 9 10 the marketplace and it was to illustrate that 11 in all those years we don't have Tennis 12 Channel yet until we get to 2003 and then the 13 rest of the time line, all those years is when Tennis Channel is in existence. 14 15 JUDGE SIPPEL: Right up to the 16 present? 17 MR. CARROLL: Right up to the 18 present. 19 JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay, thank you. MR. CARROLL: Thank you, Your 20 21 Honor. 22 BY MR. CARROLL:

Q So let's move forward in time then, Mr. Bond, if we could. So what do you do -- in 2003, you are now at Comcast?

A That's correct.

Q And what do you do when you hear about the sports tier that Time Warner has created?

A There was a lot of discussion inside Comcast whether Comcast should create a sports tier as others, Time Warner and Cox, had seemed to be doing. And over a period of some months and examination it was determined that we would try to move forward with launching a sports tier on our own.

Q And why was that? What were the advantages that you saw as a cable distributor to having a sports tier?

A Well, one of the challenges that exists in the business, in the cable business is continually adding product to existing tiers which add costs, but don't really add any subscribers. So there's -- and there's

really no associated revenue coming with that in channels. So it really becomes simply a cost and it reduces the profitability of the company to add incremental programming.

So by creating a sports tier, that had the advantage of tying new revenue to the cost. So if we created a sports tier and charged say \$5 for it, but we then put programming on it that cost in aggregate say \$2, we would actually make — the programmers would make \$2 and we would make \$3 or \$2.50 and \$2.50 or whatever the split would be there.

So the advantage of launching the sports tier was it created a new revenue opportunity for the cable company that was tied to the cost. So it was a way in which we could generate revenue for the cable company and generate revenue for the programmers.

Alternatively, if you simply launched programming on an existing broadly-based tier, it ended up increasing costs,

increasing programming costs, so it created revenue for the programmer, for the network, but it didn't create any associated revenue for the cable company. So there was a mismatch in adding programming to existing tiers. So the sports tier was an idea that allowed us to create incremental revenue together with the associated incremental cost. So there was revenue for both parties.

JUDGE SIPPEL: Can I follow up on that?

MR. CARROLL: Yes, please.

in terms of putting the programming on the sports tier, constituting the programming on the sports tier, or your explanation of business reasons why, was it ever a part of the agreement, the carriage agreement, that if certain things happened similar to a baseball player's contract, if you do this, this, this, and this, then this will happen? Was there any kind of conditions laid out that if you do

this and you -- if your program becomes so popular, then you have a right or you have the option or we have the option of bringing you down to a broader tier?

2.0

THE WITNESS: I don't think I've ever done a deal in my professional career that was structured in that way. The deals, how they would typically be structured, is the deals would establish a certain minimum distribution requirement, and that would vary from service to service. And any additional distribution on top of that was purely at the option of the distributor. So that was the typical structure for an affiliation agreement.

I don't think I've ever done a deal that had triggers in it that required further distribution based on performance.

All the deals that we've done really fit into that mold that I just described, minimum distribution obligation, whatever it is and that varied quite widely, depending on the

network with distribution above that purely option at the distributor's option.

JUDGE SIPPEL: That's why you're sitting there and I'm sitting here.

(Laughter.)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

JUDGE SIPPEL: I might not be too good at what you do. But this is interesting to me anyway. All right, so it was never reduced to writing and that's understandable. I was expecting the answer you gave. Is that ever conceivably an incentive for a new network or a new programmer coming on line and saying I have this great, great idea. take you now, but we can only push you up there because we really don't know what we're getting and then you had all the explanations as to the expense, the cost, and all this stuff. The deal is going to work good for you, but not for us. All of a sudden it dawned on us, these folks have a good deal. If they go through the -- when the contract expires, the distribution expires, they can

always sell their wares someplace else,

correct?

THE WITNESS: That's correct.

4 That's correct.

5 JUDGE SIPPEL: Has that ever

happened?

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Typically, what THE WITNESS: would happen is you would do a deal with a network and it would require a certain distribution. And I would say in many cases, distributors would tend to over deliver on that to some extent. There would always be instances where certain systems would want to distribute differently or they would distribute beyond the commitment. And that's happened, for instance, in The Tennis Channel case. We very significantly over delivered on that commitment. Since The Tennis Channel required no distribution at all, we actually launched very broadly. So in that case, we had seen the value of it to put on the sports tier, so we launched it very broadly.

Now when a renewal -- you 1 2 mentioned renewals, when renewal opportunities 3 come up, that is oftentimes an opportunity to relook at packaging. And in my experience, 4 renewal times are opportunities where 5 6 distribution might be increased. 7 JUDGE SIPPEL: So it's a 8 possibility? 9 THE WITNESS: Absolutely. And it 10 happens very often. 11 JUDGE SIPPEL: Now it happens very 12 often, under my scenario, it would be 13 increasing the distribution and at some point it's going to -- if you increase it enough, 14 15 you're going to have to drop the programmer 16 down to a lower tier and then we get into that 17 cost-benefit analysis. 18 THE WITNESS: Exactly. JUDGE SIPPEL: Has it ever been 19 20 worthwhile to a carrier that you have -- a 21 distributor that you have been with,

worthwhile to bring one of these programs at

Page 1976 renewal time down into a broader distributor 1 2 tier? 3 THE WITNESS: Yes. What will happen in those instances --4 5 JUDGE SIPPEL: Am I clear with my 6 question? 7 THE WITNESS: Yes, you're 8 absolutely clear. What will happen in those 9 instances, if you're in a renewal discussion 10 with the programmer, now you're in a situation 11 where the programmer will say if you want to 12 continue to have my programming, I would like 13 more distribution. And if you don't do a deal 14 with me, you will not continue to have access 15 to the network. 16 So if the network is appealing and 17 strong, it may be able to then on renewal gain incremental distribution. 18 19 JUDGE SIPPEL: I'm saying in your 20 experience, has that ever happened where

you've been convinced by the programmer, that

he's got a good argument? You don't want to

21

Page 1978 1 THE WITNESS: Yes, that's correct. 2 JUDGE SIPPEL: I'm correct? 3 THE WITNESS: Yes, you're correct. 4 Yes. 5 JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. 6 BY MR. CARROLL: 7 Let me follow up on that and talk 8 about the distribution implications of an 9 affiliation agreement. If you're out of 10 contract, the contract is about to expire, 11 does the programmer gain more leverage then 12 because the programmer can say to you, if you 13 don't agree to my terms, you won't have my 14 programming any more? 15 Α That's correct. 16 Okay. So is an advantage to you 17 as the cable company to have the programmer 18 under a contract where that leverage doesn't 19 exist? 20 That's correct. 21 And help us understand the 22 implications of the sports tier on

distribution. Does the sports tier make it easier or more difficult to get distribution, for a programmer to get distribution? And explain your answer.

2.0

A Well, I think the sports tier made it easier for networks to get distribution because otherwise the pattern of behavior that I described a moment ago existed. You had a lot of launches happening in the 1980s when cable was first getting started. You had a lot of launches happening in the 1990s.

Towards the end of the 1990s, you had a pattern of launch support being paid.

So things were getting tighter at the end of the '90s and then in 2000 and on, really, the launch of new networks really decelerated. And that was happening because of what I described earlier. You had these big packages of product. You had literally hundreds of networks that a cable company was carrying. Adding one more network to a big package was not going to help you gain

subscribers necessarily. It was just going to increase your cost.

So the sports tier was an opportunity to create a new revenue stream. And so that made it easier to get deals with new networks that fit within the context of that tier because now you had a new business model. Now you had a new product that you were selling that you wanted to get product for because there was an associated revenue stream with it.

JUDGE SIPPEL: So I look up that, this is my terminology -- where's my notes?

Launch support payments. That should get a spot in the glossary. Launch support -- that is a beautiful phrase of art. And there was no further business reason to even entertain that. Of course, this was from the side now of the programmer. The programmer -- once the programmer is given an opportunity go up to a sports tier, then there's no incentive to pay those launch support payments. Is that

1 | correct? Because he's going to get up there.

THE WITNESS: On the sports tier,

yes.

JUDGE SIPPEL: You put him up

there and he doesn't have to go into that -
I would call it a -- in a contract, I would

just call it a sweetener. You're trying to

negotiate a contract, well, okay, well, we

agree on something, that's good. We agree on

a lot of things. You see what I'm saying?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

JUDGE SIPPEL: Now what was the time frame that that was kind of a watershed when that happened and I'm just trying to put it in terms of what date --

end of the millennium, frankly. When you got into 2000s and beyond, you saw really not a whole lot of networks being launched. There were a few exceptions. There were new RNS, Regional Sports Networks being launched, but you really didn't see new basic networks being

Page 1982 1 launched at the rate that you saw in the '90s 2 and certainly at the '80s. 3 JUDGE SIPPEL: Now you tricked me What's a basic network? 4 there. 5 THE WITNESS: Basic as opposed to 6 a sports tier network. 7 JUDGE SIPPEL: I see. I gotcha. 8 Go ahead. I'm sorry. 9 THE WITNESS: Just to clarify, 10 when I was talking earlier about a network like History Channel or ESPN2 or ESPN or A&E 11 12 or Golf and Versus, I considered those to be 13 basic networks. They were all launched in the '80s and the '90s. 14 15 JUDGE SIPPEL: That's good for me 16 because that's how I was hearing it. I guess 17 maybe I should have asked you to make that distinction then, but -- okay, we're on the 18 19 same wavelength. 20 MR. CARROLL: Shall I continue, 21 Your Honor?

Please, yes, please

JUDGE SIPPEL:

Page 1983 do, unless somebody is hungry. 1 2 (Laughter.) 3 MR. CARROLL: Not that again. 4 JUDGE SIPPEL: Let's keep on. 5 BY MR. CARROLL: 6 So does there come a time after 7 you hear about Time Warner in the sports tier, 8 I think you explained that Comcast evaluates 9 it and they decide to start a sports tier. 10 that right? 11 Α Yes. 12 And does there come a time when 13 you initiate dealings with The Tennis Channel? 14 Α Yes. 15 Tell us about that. How does that 0 16 come to pass? 17

They had obviously, they had reached out to us, as well, as part of their role as a network. They obviously reached out to all the distributors, so they had reached out to us and when we had determined we were going to launch a sports tier and that was our

18

19

20

21

line in front of you, Exhibit 658?